Also, for the purpose of suggesting enabling legislation or regulation, both as to overall land use control and as to airport (and airport environs) land use control, a number of state statutes, law review articles, and cases.

In addition, Consultant communicated with every state aeronautical agency asking a series of questions concerning the involvement of state agencies with local government agencies in airport land use compatibility matters. Results of those responding were tabulated and presented in Appendix 2 to this Report.

The review and write-up have created the fundamentals of an Airport Land Use Compatibility Manual, and the series of concerns that must be addressed in preparing such a Manual and an outline of what such a Manual should cover. Appendices attached are samples or models of what is being done around the country in this area, and provide some guidance as Prince George's County determines its course of action.

APPENDIX 2a

Text of Message to State Airport Offices/Directors

We're doing a study on the "state-of-the-art" regarding Airport Land Use Compatibility policies and practices. Particularly, we are interested in the following:
1) Do you, as the state aeronautics agency, provide any Handbook or other materials to local governments concerning Airport Land Use Compatibility?
   If yes, is this done as a "courtesy" or as a result of a legislative "mandate?"
2) Are you aware of any innovative techniques that have been used by local governments in your state to discourage or prohibit incompatible land uses near public or private airports?
   What are they? Did they work?
3) How would you advise local governments to proceed if they are faced with an existing Airport Land Use incompatibility?

If you have any printed materials on these subjects, I would appreciate your sending a copy by mail or FAX, together with some short answers to the questions above.

Appendix 2b—Summary of State Aviation Responses

(Exact texts on file with Consultant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Do They Have Materials To Send to cities/counties?</th>
<th>Any Strategies?</th>
<th>Advice for Incompatible Land Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Enforce FAA Rules</td>
<td>No particular info./FAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Refer to FAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Yes, HB</td>
<td>Several As Described in HB</td>
<td>See HB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2c

Questions Posed of Random Sample of Prince George’s County Residents

Sequence Number: ______________

Date/Time of Call: ______________

Phone Number: ______________

Address: _______________________

Introduction:

“Hello, I’m calling for Cheek & Associates – we are consultants to the Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Commission. May I ask you ten short survey questions which should only take three minutes of your time?

1. Are you a resident of Prince George’s County and over 18 years of age?

2. How many years have you been a resident of Prince George’s County?

3. What is your occupation?
4. What is the closest small civilian airport to your home?

5. Do you believe airports have a positive economic impact for the County?

6. Do small aircraft fly low over your home?

7. Is airplane noise offensive to you where you live?

8. Is there a particular day or time of day when airplane sounds are offensive?

9. Should the government control land use near airports in the County?

10. Should the County own airports within the County?

Thank you for your cooperation. Goodbye.”

Appendix 2d

Results of Telephone Survey: Citizens’ telephones picked at random responded, all within Prince George’s County. Few residents complained about aircraft noise or annoyance, except occasional “military” overflights. From this limited sample, Consultant has concluded that airplane/aircraft noise from general aviation airports is not a problem. One respondent volunteered that they should close Potomac Airfield.