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ABSTRACT This sector plan updates the 2001 Approved Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for the Greenbelt Metro Area and amends portions of the 1989 Approved Master Plan for Langley Park-College Park-Greenbelt and Vicinity and 1990 Adopted Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 65, 66, and 67. Developed with the active participation of the community, including property owners, developers, residents, and elected officials; this document recommends goals, policies, strategies, and actions pertaining to land use, urban design, the environmental and green infrastructure networks, the multimodal transportation system, housing, economic development, health and wellness, the Greenbelt medical mile, public facilities, parks and recreation, historic preservation, zoning, and implementation. The plan builds upon the recommendations of the 2002 Approved General Plan for Prince George’s County for centers and corridors in the Developed Tier, addresses sustainable development tied to existing and proposed mass transit options, and incorporates recommendations from functional area master plans such as the Green Infrastructure Functional Master Plan, Master Plan of Transportation, and Water Resources Functional Master Plan. The Sectional Map Amendment proposes zoning changes to implement the land use recommendations of the sector plan.
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FOREWORD

The Prince George’s County Planning Board of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission is pleased to make available the Approved Greenbelt Metro Area and MD 193 Corridor Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment. This community-based plan and sectional map amendment (SMA) provide a clear vision for the future of the Greenbelt Metro Station Metropolitan Center and the portion of the University Boulevard (MD 193) Corridor that passes through the City of Greenbelt and Town of Berwyn Heights between the Metro Green Line and Hanover Parkway. The sector plan builds on the rich and innovative planning history of Greenbelt, Berwyn Heights, and neighboring College Park.

Policy guidance for this plan came from the 2002 Prince George’s County Approved General Plan and county functional area master plans, including the 2005 Approved Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan, 2008 Approved Public Safety Facilities Master Plan, 2009 Countywide Master Plan of Transportation, 2010 Approved Historic Sites and Districts Plan, and 2010 Approved Water Resources Master Plan. The 2002 General Plan designates University Boulevard (Greenbelt Road/MD 193) as an important county corridor with a mix of residential and non-residential land uses concentrated at key identified locations (corridor nodes). The 2002 General Plan also designates the Greenbelt Metro Station Metropolitan Center as a major destination for employment and mixed-use development, drawing from the metropolitan Washington, D.C., area.

The Greenbelt Metro Area and MD 193 Corridor Sector Plan and SMA:

• Presents a unique opportunity to preserve a sensitive stream valley while simultaneously revitalizing and redeveloping existing office and residential development.
• Recommends the retrofit of a 3,600-space surface parking lot into an eco-community focused around the Greenbelt Metro Station that will incorporate natural features, active and passive open spaces, innovative energy generation and reduction techniques, and high density, mixed-use transit- and pedestrian-oriented development. The Greenbelt eco-community will become a regional and national model of how the man-made and natural environments can be integrated as a sustainable, cohesive community.
• Proactively strives to realize the potential for a major employer or Government Services Administration tenant at the Greenbelt Metro Station Metropolitan Center, potentially adding thousands of jobs to the local economy and fostering demand for supporting development and services such as new housing, retail, and office opportunities.
• Establishes a “medical mile” along Hanover Parkway to leverage the proximity of Doctors Community Hospital and numerous healthcare providers in nearby offices to improve the community’s health and well-being.
• Emphasizes the importance of safe pedestrian and bicyclist connectivity throughout the sector plan area and to nearby communities and job centers.
• Provides for appropriate mixed-use infill and redevelopment opportunities at transit-served intersections along the MD 193 Corridor (Greenbelt Road).
• Envisions the revitalization of existing office parks and the integration of amenities, such as restaurants and services, to meet the needs of current and future employees.
• Ensures the preservation of adjacent single-family, residential neighborhoods in Berwyn Heights, College Park, and Greenbelt.
• Establishes design standards to provide for high-quality, urban places and buildings that are sensitive to the rich history of the area and to incorporate sustainable design measures.

In an effort to encourage the active participation of all stakeholders, the sector plan process incorporated extensive community engagement and outreach. Community participation and input began in late 2010, culminating in a series of intensive community workshops in fall 2011 and spring 2012. Additional community input was solicited through civic association and small stakeholder group meetings, work sessions with the City of Greenbelt planning staff, briefings from the Greenbelt Advisory Planning Board, municipal briefings, information gathering sessions, and collaboration on plan revisions following the joint public hearing on the preliminary plan on October 2, 2012. Extensive community feedback and comments were summarized by staff and reviewed by the Planning Board and District Council prior to approval of the sector plan and SMA by the Council on March 5, 2013.

The Greenbelt Metro Area and MD 193 Corridor Sector Plan contains recommendations for land use and urban design, environmental infrastructure and stewardship, the transportation network (including pedestrian and bicycle facilities, transit, and roadways), health and wellness, public facilities, parks and recreation, economic development and revitalization, housing and neighborhood preservation, historic preservation, municipal services, and implementation. The SMA includes zoning changes to facilitate implementation of the plan vision and land use concepts.

The Planning Board very much appreciates the contributions and active engagement of the community and stakeholders in this innovative and exciting planning effort. We believe this sector plan reflects a commitment to implement community desires and build on the 2002 General Plan recommendations for enhanced connectivity, multimodal accessibility, and safety for all residents, workers, and visitors. We look forward to continued collaboration to implement the plan’s recommendations and achieve the vision for transit-oriented, mixed-use development at the Greenbelt Metro Station and a revitalized MD 193 Corridor. Thank you for your consideration and review of this very important plan.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth M. Hewlett
Chairman
Prince George’s County Planning Board
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