The 1990 Public Safety Master Plan identified the need for a dedicated public safety training facility; however, such a facility has yet to be built. All of the public safety agencies expressed a need for a training facility that has classroom space, a driving track, and other specialized facilities for each agency. In addition, each agency has specialized needs, which require different types of facilities to teach various skills. The Fire/EMS Department will need a burn building for various fire suppression training classes. The Police Department needs an indoor firing range that can accommodate rifle-training situations, as well as an outdoor firing range. The Corrections Department, Police Department, and Sheriff are in need of facilities for pistol firing practice.

The county should construct a public safety training facility to meet the needs of all agencies.

- A specific study should be carried out to address the needs of all the agencies and recommend the size, design, and features of a training facility.
- The facility should be located along Ritchie Marlboro Road where there is vacant publicly owned property.
- This facility should be an Intermediate Priority project, to be funded or under construction between 2014—2020.
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COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND
SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL
2008 Legislative Session
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Proposed by The Chairman (by request - Planning Board)
Introduced by Council Members Exum, Knotts and Dean
Co-Sponsors
Date of Introduction March 25, 2008

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION concerning

The Prince George’s County Public Safety Facilities Master Plan
For the purpose of approving with amendments the Prince George’s County Public Safety
Facilities Master Plan.

WHEREAS, upon approval by the District Council the Prince George’s County Public
Safety Facilities Master Plan will amend the 1990 Approved Public Safety Master Plan, and the
2002 Prince George’s County Approved General Plan; 1990 Largo-Lottsford Approved Master
Plan; 1993 Landover and Vicinity Approved Master Plan; 1993 Subregion V Approved Master
Plan; 1994 Bladensburg, New Carrollton and Vicinity (PA 69) Approved Master Plan; 1994
Melwood/Westphalia Approved Master Plan; 1994 Planning Area 68 Approved Master Plan;
1994 Subregion VI Study Area Approved Master Plan; 2000 The Heights and Vicinity Approved
Master Plan; 2004 Approved Prince George’s County Gateway Arts District Sector Plan; 2006
Bowie and Vicinity Approved Master Plan; 2006 East Glenn Dale Area Approved Sector Plan;
2006 Henson Creek-South Potomac Approved Master Plan; 2007 Bladensburg Town Center
Approved Sector Plan; 2007 Westphalia Approved Sector Plan and 2007 Subregion I Adopted
Master Plan.

WHEREAS, the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, with the
concurrency of the County Council of Prince George’s County, Maryland, sitting as the District
Council, in Council Resolution CR-51-2004, initiated preparation of a Prince George’s County
Public Safety Facilities Master Plan in accordance with Part 13 of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the procedures for preparation of a master plan, the Prince
George’s County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission published an informational brochure and held seven public forums between March and June 2005, to inform the public of the intent to prepare a functional master plan, provide background information on issues identified and solicit ideas and comments on plan development; established goals, concepts, guidelines and a public participation program; formed focus groups to concentrate on specific issues; and held a public information forum to discuss several implementation options; and

WHEREAS, on February 22, 2005, the District Council endorsed the goals, concepts and guidelines prepared by the Planning Board pursuant to Section 27-643 of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the District Council and the Planning Board held a duly advertised joint public hearing on the Preliminary Prince George’s County Public Safety Facilities Master Plan on October 2, 2007, and subsequently, the Planning Board adopted the Prince George’s County Public Safety Facilities Master Plan with amendments as described in Prince George’s County Planning Board Resolution PGCPB No. 07-234 on December 20, 2007, and

WHEREAS, the adopted Prince George’s County Public Safety Facilities Master Plan was transmitted to the District Council on January 25, 2008 and the District Council conducted work sessions on the Plan on February 5, 2008, and March 4, 2008; and

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2008, the Chairman of the District Council instructed the Planning Department staff to work with an ad hoc subcommittee of District Council members to develop amendments to the adopted plan; and

WHEREAS, this ad hoc subcommittee held work sessions on March 11, 2008 and March 18, 2008 and proposed several amendments to the adopted master plan, and presented these amendments to the District Council;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Prince George's County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that part of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Prince George's County, Maryland, that the Public Safety Facilities Master Plan, as adopted and endorsed by Planning Board Resolution PGCPB No. 07-234 on December 20, 2007, is hereby approved with the following amendments:
AMENDMENTS TO THE ADOPTED MASTER PLAN

AMENDMENT 1

Make the following changes to the section entitled “Staging Priority for New Public Facilities” on page 8.

Staging Priority for New Public Facilities

The PPSFMP Approved Public Safety Facilities Master Plan recommends that the first priority for new construction be given to those facilities that are funded for construction in the first two fiscal years of the current FY 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and to those facilities that have a countywide scope of service, such as agency headquarters, training facilities, and the Correctional Center. The PSFMP phasing plan reflects the budget decisions made by the County government in the FY 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Program. The CIP is intended to implement the public sector policies of providing capital facilities in the Developed, Developing and Rural Tiers. The PPSFMP follows phasing plan described below amends the General Plan recommendation of targeting public investments to the Developed Tier first, then the Developing Tier and Rural Tier only for those specific public safety facilities described in this plan. Public investments for facilities, departments, or programs not specifically listed in this plan remain subject to the tier-specific implementation recommendations in the General Plan. The subsequent prioritization of facility renovations or replacements not listed in this plan should be based upon an analysis of building conditions, including structural integrity, the condition of mechanical and electric systems, and the availability of funding. The Prince George’s County Government and its agencies are responsible for conducting individual building assessments and placing projects in the annual Capital Improvement Program. In some cases the renovation of existing structures may not be feasible; in which case total replacement of buildings on present sites would be necessary. Maintenance, replacement, and upgrades of existing facilities should be based on the need and nature of the necessary improvements.
Staging Priority Ranking for New Construction

- **Highest Priority**—Public safety facility projects funded for construction in the first two years of the FY 2007-2012 2008-2013 Capital Improvement Program and public facilities that will serve the entire county, such as agency headquarters and training facilities.

- **High Priority**—Relocation of existing fire/EMS and police district stations considered physically inadequate for current demand and for which renovation is not feasible, and construction of new fire/EMS facilities that eliminate response time gaps. Public safety facility projects programmed to be funded by FY 2013.

- **Moderate Priority**—Public safety facilities in accordance with General Plan policies for investment in public facilities in the Developed Tier.

- **Intermediate Priority**—Public safety facility projects programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

- **Long-Term Priority**—Public safety facility projects recommended to be funded after 2021.

- **Low Priority**—Public safety facilities in accordance with General Plan policies for investment in public facilities in the Developing Tier.

- **Lowest Priority**—Public safety facilities in accordance with the policies of the General Plan for investment in public facilities in the Rural Tier.

**AMENDMENT 2**

Replace the Police Department facility recommendations on pages 24 and 25 with the following text:

**Police Department Recommendations**

**Developed Tier Facility Recommendations**

**Proposed Renovations**

**Long-Term Priority**

**Name:** Police Headquarters and District III-Palmer Park Station

**Planning Area (PA):** 72

**Tier:** Developed

**Strategy:** Renovate building and modernize internal systems.

**Justification:** The Police Headquarters and District III Station should be renovated to address
maintenance issues and to retrofit the building for expanded computer uses and upgraded communication equipment.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Name:** Special Operations Center  
**PA:** 69  
**Tier:** Developed  
**Strategy:** Renovate building because of extensive maintenance problems or move it to a new, more centrally located site.

**Justification:** The Special Operations building is an old school built in the 1950s, with some fundamental maintenance issues that need to be addressed. The building also is not equipped with a backup generator for emergency use. The Center is not centrally located and lacks indoor storage for a variety of Special Operations vehicles.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

---

**Developing Tier Facility Recommendations**

**Highest Priority**

**Name:** District VII Police Station  
**PA:** 80  
**Tier:** Developing  
**Strategy:** Construct a new station at Indian Head Highway (MD 210) and Fort Washington Road to serve a new district.

**Justification:** The creation of a new district would reduce the size of District IV (Oxon Hill) and will encompass some of District V along Branch Avenue (MD 5). The creation of a new district would enable better service to be provided to the surrounding community, and reduce the size of two large districts.

**Staging Priority:** Highest Priority – Funded for construction in FY 2008.
**Long Term Priority**

**Name:** District VI - Beltsville Police Station

**PA:** 60

**Tier:** Developing

**Strategy:** Construct a new station in the vicinity of Van Dusen Road and Virginia Manor Road

**Justification:** The existing station is located in an old school building, which is shared with the library system. The building was not constructed as a police facility and has number of maintenance issues, including needed HVAC, plumbing, ceiling and roof repairs.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Name:** Woodmore-Glenn Dale Police Station

**PA:** 70

**Tier:** Developing

**Strategy:** Construct a new station on MD 193 adjacent to the Glenn Dale Fire/EMS station when needed to accommodate growth in the area.

**Justification:** This facility is not needed at this time, but the land is owned by the county and should be retained for long-term use as a police facility in the future. Police coverage to a major portion of this proposed station’s service area is expected to be provided by the City of Bowie Police Department. The timing of when this facility is needed will be based on population growth in the area as well as the need of the County Police Department to accommodate increased staffing levels.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Rural Tier Facility Recommendation**

**Proposed Relocation**

**Long Term Priority**

**Name:** District V-Clinton Police Station

**PA:** 82A

**Tier:** Rural

**Strategy:** Relocate the District V station to the vicinity of US 301 and Rosaryville Road.

**Justification:** Relocating the District V - Clinton District Station is a direct result of the
discussion with the community concerning public safety. There is perception of a lack of police
protection in the community, and some of that perception stems from a lack of police visibility.
The district station itself is located along the outer edge of the district, not in the center of its
patrol area, and therefore is not visible to the public. Moving the station would address this
perception and allow for reduced travel times for patrol officers.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Change the Summary of Recommendations on pages 1-3, Other Public Safety Policies on page
12-15, and plan maps to reflect this amendment.

**AMENDMENT 3**

Delete the following text and associated footnote on page 26 of the adopted master plan:

Most relevant to Prince George’s County, jurisdictions with police departments of over
1,000 sworn officers and with crime rates that exceed 25 crimes per 1,000 residents average 2.7
officers per 1,000 residents.

**AMENDMENT 4**

Make the following text changes to the Police Staffing Recommendations on page 30:

**Objective**

The Prince George’s County Police Department should have an overall police officer to
population ratio of 2.7 officers per 1,000 residents. A staffing level based on this ratio—and the
estimated 2006 county population of 846,123—would amount to a force of approximately 2,285
officers. In 2006, the Police Department was budgeted for 1,597 sworn officers—strive to achieve
a staffing level of 1,800 sworn officers in the future.

**Policy**—Countywide deployment of officers should be structured to allow for:
- Regular, visible patrols;
- Self-initiated crime fighting and traffic enforcement;
- Greater interface with the community;
- Simultaneous improvement to response times to calls for service;
- Extended periods of heavy deployment targeted at specific high crime areas while allowing for
  continued coverage throughout the county.
Strategy

The Police Department should conduct a study of nationwide recruitment and retention best practices and implement those practices that will most expeditiously lead to the realization of an intermediate staffing goal of 1,800 sworn officers, 2.7 officers per 1,000 resident ratio.

Change the Summary of Recommendations on pages 1-3, and other Public Safety Policies on page 12-15, to reflect this amendment.

AMENDMENT 5

Replace the Office of the Sheriff Facility Recommendation on page 42 with the following text:

Office of the Sheriff Facility Recommendation

Long-Term Priority

Name: Sheriff Headquarters

PA: 79

Tier: Developing

Justification: The responsibility of the Office of the Sheriff for court and building security requires a significant number of employees to be present in Upper Marlboro. The Largo Office is located approximately eight miles away. If the office were located in Upper Marlboro, it would increase efficiency and make logistics an easier task.

Strategy: Renovate the Old Marlboro High School building to provide space in Upper Marlboro for the Sheriff or construct a new building in the vicinity of the correction facility in order to better meet the agency security responsibilities for the courts and government.

Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Change the Summary of Recommendations on pages 1-3, Other Public Safety Policies on page 12-15, and plan maps to reflect this amendment.
AMENDMENT 6

Replace the Department of Corrections Facility Recommendations on pages 44 and 45 with the following text:

Department of Corrections Facility Recommendations

Highest Priority

Name: Modular Housing Unit
PA: 79
Tier: Developing

Justification: This project is for the construction of a 64-bed minimum-security modular housing unit. This is in response to the rising trends in incarceration that took place in 2005 and 2006 and continued in 2007. This improvement will address the overcapacity problems occurring at the jail.

Strategy: Construct the modular housing unit project.

Name: Correctional Center Permanent Housing Units
PA: 79
Tier: Developing

Justification: This project will add two permanent housing units to the Correctional Center. Each new housing unit will have 96 permanent beds for a total expansion of 192 beds. This is in response to the rising trends in incarceration that took place in 2005 and 2006 and continued in 2007. This improvement will address the overcapacity problems occurring at the jail.

Strategy: Construct the Correctional Center permanent housing units.

Name: Work Release and Residential Treatment Facility
PA: 79
Tier: Developing

Justification: This is a project to construct a work release and residential treatment center for male and female offenders on the site of the Correctional Center. The facility will contain 150
beds for work release inmates and 45 beds for detoxification treatment. The Department of Corrections will be responsible for security and the Health Department will provide treatment services.

**Strategy:** Construct the work release and residential treatment center.

**Staging Priority:** Highest Priority—Funded for construction FY 2009, FY 2010, and FY 2011.

---

**High Priority**

**Name:** County Correctional Center Expansion

**PA:** 79

**Tier:** Developing

**Justification:** The scheduled improvements and expansions will bring the present facility to its ultimate capacity level. Two additional correctional needs should be considered for future expansion—a juvenile facility and a female facility. Both of these populations have shown significant growth in the recent years and require special services not sufficiently met in an overcrowded majority adult male correctional facility. The Correctional Center is located on a 28.9 acre parcel that is adjacent to a 132.69 acre county-owned property. A portion of the facility yard within the fence line extends across the original planned right-of-way for Dille Drive and into this adjacent parcel. This parcel currently contains the Department of Environmental Resources Vehicle Audit Unit (VAU) and impoundment lot. There is sufficient room to expand the present correctional facility onto the VAU property if additional space is needed in the future. Strategy: Consider expanding the County Correctional Center onto the VAU property if incarceration levels continue to increase.

**Staging Priority:** High Priority—Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013.

---

**Name:** Detention Center Housing Renovations

**PA:** 79

**Tier:** Developing

**Justification:** This project will upgrade and refurbish the original housing units in the County Detention Center. Metal ceilings and lighting fixtures will be installed. Painting and new flooring will be part of this project.

**Strategy:** Construct the jail renovation project.
Staging Priority: High Priority—Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013

Change the Summary of Recommendations on pages 1-3, Other Public Safety Policies on page 12-15, and plan maps to reflect this amendment.

AMENDMENT 7

Amend the text and footnote of the “Fire and Emergency Medical Service Response Times Standards” on page 60 to reflect the following changes:

In an effort to ensure that all properties are covered in a reasonable period of time, a minimum five-minute travel time standard for station locations is used in the PSFMP. Many station sites are not owned or acquired at this time and are referred to as “floating symbols,” which indicate that the exact locations of the future stations are not firmly established, and the final locations may move from the symbols’ positions on the plan map. Fire/EMS station locations may move from the five-minute travel time spacing recommended on the plan map to a maximum seven-minute travel time between stations in the Developed and Developing Tiers. Fire/EMS station locations in the Rural Tier are recommended to serve a nine-minute travel time standard. This new policy applies to all vehicle types assigned to a station and replaces Table 5 in the General Plan, which lists vehicle travel times. It is expected that the final station locations may move to other sites, depending on availability, but still remain in the general vicinity of the floating symbols.

15. To determine the geographic area within a five-minute travel time from existing stations and proposed stations, a computer application was developed using the M-NCPPC ArcGIS mapping system. The map was created using the E-911 GIS street centerline layer as the base. This layer was created manually by digitizing the centerline of the road using ortho-rectified aerial imagery that is accurate to a scale of 1:2400 (1 inch is equal to 200 feet). All roads captured on the M-NCPPC street centerline layer automatically have the distance of the road segments calculated and stored within the GIS database. A speed limit was assigned to each road segment. Then a response area boundary was created—by computing the distance a rescue unit an emergency vehicle could travel from each fire station and drawing a boundary around each fire station.
AMENDMENT 8

Replace pages 61-69 of the chapter entitled “Fire/EMS Department Facility Recommendations” with the following text:

Fire/EMS Department Facility Recommendations

Developed Tier Facility Recommendations

In the Developed Tier, this plan recommends that ten stations be renovated or replaced. These stations continue to provide needed coverage in the area but are in need of modernization because they tend to have small bays that do not accommodate up-to-date equipment, may not have male and female bunkrooms, and/or have outdated mechanical systems. Four new stations are recommended to replace outdated stations located on sites unsuitable for expansion or reconstruction and to provide better Fire/EMS coverage to the community.

Proposed Renovations and Replacements

Highest Priority

Name: Capitol Heights Fire/EMS Station – Co. 5
PA: 75B
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Renovate facility
Justification: The present station does not have adequate space to house modern fire apparatus. The renovation will modernize the building and provide additional space for offices and equipment.

Name: Silver Hill Fire/EMS Station – Co. 29
PA: 76A
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Renovate the existing facility in order to better accommodate modern vehicle and equipment.
Justification: The current facility does not comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and the mechanical and electrical systems need replacement.

High Priority

Name: Chillum Fire/EMS Station – Co. 44
PA: 65
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Replace the existing station
Justification: The building has significant maintenance problems.

Staging Priority: High Priority- Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013.

Intermediate Priority

Name: Greenbelt Fire/EMS Station – Co. 35
PA: 67
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Relocate the existing station to a site in the vicinity of Greenbelt Road and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway.
Justification: The existing station should be relocated in order to provide improved service to the area.

Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

Name: Hyattsville Fire/EMS Station – Co. 1
PA: 68
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Replace the existing station with a new facility on Belcrest Road shared by Hyattsville Co. 1 and the American Red Cross.
Justification: Replacement is needed to provide adequate space for larger fire and rescue vehicles that are now in use at the Fire/EMS Department.

Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority-Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.
Name: Morningside Fire/EMS Station – Co. 27
PA: 76A
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Replace the existing station
Justification: Replacement is needed to provide adequate space for larger fire and rescue vehicles that are now in use by the Fire/EMS Department. The current site will not support further expansion of the existing station.
Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority-Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

Long Term Priority
Name: Bladensburg Fire/EMS Station – Co. 9
PA: 69
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Renovate facility to accommodate modern vehicles and equipment.
Justification: Building has design issues associated with the operations of newer larger equipment.
Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Name: Branchville Fire/EMS Station – Co. 11
PA: 66
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Renovate or replace facility
Justification: The building has design and mechanical systems problems.
Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Name: Chillum/Adelphi Fire/EMS Station – Co. 34
PA: 65
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Renovate or replace facility
Justification: The building has significant maintenance problems.
**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Name:** West Lanham Hills Fire/EMS Station – Co. 28  
**PA:** 69  
**Tier:** Developed  
**Strategy:** Renovate facility.  
**Justification:** Renovation is needed to address structural problems, meet ADA requirements and provide for separate male and female bunk areas.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Name:** Kentland Fire/EMS Station – Co. 33  
**PA:** 72  
**Tier:** Developed  
**Strategy:** Renovate or replace facility.  
**Justification:** The building has design issues associated with the operation of newer, larger equipment.

**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Proposed New Stations**

**Highest Priority**

**Name:** Central Avenue Special Operations/EMS Station  
**PA:** 75A  
**Tier:** Developed  
**Strategy:** Construct a new station on the southeast corner of Shady Glen Drive and Central Avenue  
**Justification:** A new station is needed to accommodate new equipment and provide a modern facility to serve the community. This station is recommended to house the Hazardous Materials Unit, the Metro Support Unit, and other special operations units, as well as supplementing emergency medical services to the surrounding area.

**Staging Priority:** Highest Priority- Funded for construction in 2008 and 2009.
Name: District Heights Fire/EMS Station – Co. 26
PA: 75A
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of Walker Mill Road and Silver Hill Road.
Justification: A new facility is needed to accommodate new equipment and provide a modern facility to serve the community. The old station has low ceiling and was not built to accommodate modern equipment; therefore a new station should be constructed.

Staging Priority: Highest Priority -- Funded for construction in FY 2008. Construction of this project was underway as of March 2008.

High Priority
Name: Oxon Hill Fire/EMS Station
PA: 76B
Tier: Developed/Developing
Strategy: Construct a new station on Oxon Hill Road (MD 414) between Fort Foote Road and Indian Head Highway (MD 210).
Justification: A new facility is needed to serve existing and future commercial and residential areas in the Oxon Hill area. The facility will provide coverage to the Oxon Hill Regional Center and National Harbor.

Staging Priority: High Priority- Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013.

Intermediate Priority
Name: St. Barnabas Fire/EMS Station
PA: 76B
Tier: Developed
Strategy: Construct a new station on a site in the vicinity of St. Barnabas Road (MD 414) and Virginia Lane.
Justification: A new station is needed to accommodate new equipment and provide a modern facility to serve the community.

Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority-Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.
Developing Tier Facility Recommendations

Four stations in the Developing Tier are slated for renovations, Laurel Rescue Squad – Co. 49, Beltsville – Co. 31, Beltsville – Co. 41, and Clinton – Co. 25. These are older stations in which the structure and mechanical systems need updating to accommodate new equipment. The plan recommends relocating the Forestville – Co. 23 station. Eight new stations are proposed for the developing tier. These stations are needed to provide coverage for areas outside of the recommended travel times.

Proposed Renovations and Replacements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> Laurel Rescue Squad – Co. 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PA:</strong> City of Laurel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier:</strong> Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategy:</strong> Renovate or replace the facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justification:</strong> The existing facility was not constructed to accommodate modern equipment and the facility has significant maintenance problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staging Priority:</strong> High Priority- Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intermediate Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> Beltsville Fire/EMS Station – Co. 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PA:</strong> 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier:</strong> Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategy:</strong> Renovate or replace the facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justification:</strong> The facility needs modernization to better accommodate modern vehicles and equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staging Priority:</strong> Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Name: Beltsville Fire/EMS Station – Co. 41 |
| PA: 61 |
| Tier: Developing |
Strategy: Renovate or replace the facility

Justification: The facility should be modernized to better accommodate modern vehicles and equipment

Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

Long-Term Priority

Name: Clinton Fire/EMS Station – Co. 25
PA: 81A
Tier: Developing

Strategy: Renovate or replace the existing facility

Justification: The facility should be modernized to better accommodate modern vehicles and equipment.

Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Proposed New Stations

Highest Priority

Name: Northview Fire/EMS Station (Bowie Fire/EMS Facility)
PA: 71B
Tier: Developing

Strategy: Construct a new station at MD 197 and Northview Drive.


Name: St. Joseph’s Drive Fire/EMS Station
PA: 73
Tier: Developing

Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of St. Joseph’s Drive and Ardwick-Ardmore Road.

Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.

Name: Beechtree Fire/EMS Station
PA: 74A
Tier: Developing
Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently under-served.
Staging Priority: Highest Priority- Project is funded for construction FY 2009 and FY 2010.

High Priority
Name: Brandywine Fire/EMS Station – Co. 40
PA: 85A
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Relocate the existing station to a site in the vicinity of Brandywine Road and Dyson Road.
Justification: A new station is needed to provide adequate space for larger fire and rescue vehicles that are now in use by the Fire/EMS Department. The existing station is in a poor location to serve the increasing development in the Brandywine area.
Staging Priority: High Priority- Project is programmed to be funded by FY 2013.

Intermediate Priority
Name: Forestville Fire/EMS Station – Co. 23
PA: 78
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Relocate the existing station to a site in the vicinity of Melwood Road and Pennsylvania Avenue (MD 4).
Justification: The existing station will be adversely impacted by the proposed changes to MD 4/Westphalia Road interchange under design by the Maryland Department of Transportation.
Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.
Name: Konterra Fire/EMS Station
PA: 60
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of Old Gunpowder Road and Van Dusen Road.
Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to the proposed Konterra development and the surrounding community, which is currently underserved.
Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

Long-Term Priority
Name: Snowden Fire/EMS Station
PA: 60
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of MD 197 and Snowden Road.
Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.
Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Name: Woodmore Fire/EMS Station
PA: 74A
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of Mt. Oak Road and Church Road.
Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.
Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Rural Tier Facility Recommendations
The plan recommends renovating the Baden Co. 36 station, renovating or replacing the Marlboro Co. 45 station, and locating new stations in the vicinity of Aquasco and Nottingham.
Proposed Renovations and Replacements

Highest Priority

Name: Baden Fire/EMS Station – Co. 36
PA: 86B
Tier: Rural
Strategy: Renovate the existing station.
Justification: Renovation will provide additional vehicle bays, provide for separate male and female bunk areas and expand administrative spaces in the station.

Intermediate Priority

Name: Marlboro Fire/EMS Station – Co. 45
PA: 82A
Tier: Developing
Strategy: Renovate or replace the existing facility
Justification: The facility should be modernized to better accommodate modern vehicles and equipment.
Staging Priority: Intermediate Priority- Project is programmed to be funded between 2014 and 2020.

Proposed New Stations

Long-Term Proposals

Name: Aquasco Fire/EMS Station
PA: 87B
Tier: Rural
Strategy: Construct a new station in the vicinity of Aquasco Road and Doctor Bowen Road
Justification: A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.
Staging Priority: Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.
**Name:** Danville Fire/EMS Station  
**PA:** 85A  
**Tier:** Rural  
**Strategy:** Construct a new station in the vicinity of Brandywine Road (MD 381) and Danville Road  
**Justification:** A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.  
**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

**Name:** Nottingham Fire/EMS Station  
**PA:** 86B  
**Tier:** Rural  
**Strategy:** Construct a new station in the vicinity of Croom Road (MD 382) and Tanyard Road.  
**Justification:** A new station is needed to provide service to an area that is currently underserved.  
**Staging Priority:** Long Term Priority- Project recommended to be funded after 2021.

Change the Summary of Recommendations on pages 1-3, Other Public Safety Policies on page 12-15, and plan maps to reflect this amendment.

**AMENDMENT 9**

Add the following bulleted point to the Public Safety Training Facility recommendations on page 74:

- This facility should be an Intermediate Priority project, to be funded or under construction between 2014 and 2020.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that staff is authorized to make appropriate text and map revisions to correct identified errors, reflect updated information, and incorporate the changes resulting from Council actions described in this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the provisions of this Resolution are severable. If any provision, sentence, clause, section, zone, zoning map, or part thereof is held illegal, invalid, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, such illegality, invalidity, unconstitutionality, or unenforceability shall not affect or impair any of the remaining provisions, sentences, clauses, sections, zones, zoning maps, or parts hereof or their application to other zones, persons, or circumstances. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent that this Resolution would have been adopted as if such illegal, invalid, unconstitutional, or unenforceable provision, sentence, clause, section, zone, zoning map, or part had not been included therein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall take effect on the date of its adoption.

Adopted this 25th day of March, 2008.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY, MARYLAND

BY: _________________________________
Samuel H. Dean
Chairman

ATTEST:

Redis C. Floyd
Clerk of the Council

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

Royce Hanson, Chairman

Samuel J. Parker, Jr., AICP, Vice Chairman

Patricia Colihan Barney, Secretary-Treasurer
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